# Complexity of Matrix Rank and Rigidity

Jayalal Sarma M.N.

IMSc, Chennai

Joint work with Meena Mahajan(IMSc)

**IRISS 2007** 

Jan 5, 2007

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ →三 ∽のへ⊙

## Matrix Rank

Rank of a matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  has the following equivalent definitions.

- The size of the largest submatrix with a non-zero determinant.
- The number of linearly independent rows/columns of a matrix.
- The smallest *r* such that M = AB where  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times r}$  is an  $B \in \mathbb{F}^{r \times n}$  matrix.

RANK BOUND: Given a matrix M and a value r, is rank(M) < r?.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆

# Computing the Rank

Some motivation ...

- From Linear Algebra : Computation of the number of solutions of a system of linear equations.
- From Control Theory : Rank of a matrix can be used to determine whether a linear system is controllable, or observable.
- From Algorithmics : Some natural algorithmic problems can be expressed in terms of rank computation and determinant computation.
- From Complexity Theory : In the context of seperating complexity classes, it might facilitate application of the well developed algebraic techniques.

# Complexity Theoretic Preliminaries

Classes based on Turing machine (TM) models

- L : Languages accepted by log-space bounded deterministic Turing machines.
- NL : Languages accepted by log-space bounded non-deterministic Turing machines.
- C<sub>=</sub>L : Languages accepted by a non-deterministic Turing machine such that x is in the language if and only if # of accepting paths = # of rejecting paths.

# Complexity Theoretic Preliminaries

Classes based on Turing machine (TM) models

- L : Languages accepted by log-space bounded deterministic Turing machines.
- NL : Languages accepted by log-space bounded non-deterministic Turing machines.
- C<sub>=</sub>L : Languages accepted by a non-deterministic Turing machine such that x is in the language if and only if # of accepting paths = # of rejecting paths.

Circuits are DAGs with  $\wedge,\,\vee$  and  $\neg$  gates at the vertices.

► AC<sup>0</sup> : poly size constant depth and unbounded fanin circuits.

 $\blacktriangleright$  TC<sup>0</sup> : AC<sup>0</sup> with "majority" gates

## The Zoo below P



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 三三 - のへで

# Computing the Rank

- The natural approach takes exponential time.
- Can be computed in Polynomial time : Gaussian elimination, LU decomposion, SV decomposition. But they are inherently sequential.
- Rank can be computed in NC. Elegant parallel algorithm ([Mul87]) by relating the problem to testing if some coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are zeros.
- Refined complexity bounds by [ABO96]. Upperbound testing exactly characterises C<sub>=</sub>L.

## Computing the rank of special matrices

- Several applications have inherent structure for the matrices.
- Complexity theoretic characterisations.

Restrictions we are interested in :

•  $M = [a_{i,j}]$  is diagonally dominant if

$$|a_{ii}| \geq \sum_{j 
eq i} |a_{ij}|$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ →三 ∽のへ⊙

Fun fact : If dominance is strict for all i, M is non-singular.

 Diagonal matrices : Non-zero entries only on the main diagonal.

# Characterising Log space

#### Theorem

Computing the rank of symmetric non-negative diagonally dominant matrices is complete for the complexity class L.

- Membership: The proof uses a nice combinatorial characterisation of the dimension of the null-space of the matrix due to [Dah99]. We can reduce the problem to counting the number of bipartite components in a graph.
- Hardness :The problem of testing reachability in undirected forests where there are exactly two components is L-complete.
   We reduce this problem to rank computation on symmetric non-negative diagonally dominant matrices.

# For Special Matrices...

Matrix type	RANK BOUND	SINGULAR
General	$C_{=}L$ -complete	$C_{=}L$ -complete
	[ABO96]	[ABO96]
Sym.Non-neg.	$C_{=}L$ -complete	$C_{=}L$ -complete
	[ABO96]	[ABO96]

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ Ξ > ◆ Ξ > ・ Ξ = ・ の < @

# For Special Matrices...

Matrix type	RANK BOUND	SINGULAR
General	$C_{=}L$ -complete	$C_{=}L$ -complete
	[ABO96]	[ABO96]
Sym.Non-neg.	$C_{=}L$ -complete	C <sub>=</sub> L-complete
	[ABO96]	[ABO96]
Sym.Non-neg.		
Diag. Dom.	L-complete	L-complete
Diag	TC <sup>0</sup> -complete	in AC <sup>0</sup>

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ Ξ > ◆ Ξ > ・ Ξ = ・ の < @

## How close is M to a rank r matrix?

## Definition (Rigidity)

Given a matrix M and r < n, rigidity of the matrix  $M(R_M(r))$  is the number of entries of the matrix that we need to change to bring the rank below r.

- A natural linear algebraic optimisation problem, that arises in control theory.
- Interesting in a circuit complexity theory setting. Highly rigid linear transformations(matrices) have some "nice" size-depth tradeoff in circuits computing them [Val77].
- Different variations of the problem, norm bounded change.

# Computing Rigidity

RIGID(M, r, k): Given a matrix M, values r and k, is  $R_M(r) \le k$ .

- ► Over any finite field F, RIGID is in NP. The algorithm will simply guess the positions and the changed values and simply verify if the rank has gone down.
- ► Over F<sub>2</sub>, RIGID is NP-complete [Des]. The hardness comes from a reduction from a problem in the coding theory setting : the nearest neighbour decoding problem.

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □ - 4

- Over infinite fields the only upperbound we know is *r.e.*
- ► If k is constant, restriced to boolean matrices, RIGID is C=L-complete.

# Bounded Rigidity

Industrial applications : Too much change involves too much cost.

- Given a matrix M and r < n, bounded rigidity of the matrix M (R<sub>M</sub>(b, r)) is the number of entries of the matrix that we need to change to bring the rank below r, if the change allowed per entry is atmost b.
- ▶ B-RIGID(M, r, k, b): Given a matrix M, values b, r and k, is  $R_M(b, r) \le k$ ?
- ► Another formulation : Define an interval of matrices [A] where

$$m_{ij} - b \leq a_{ij} \leq m_{ij} + b$$

Question : Is there a rank r matrix  $B \in [A]$  such that M - B has atmost k non-zero entries?

## Why should there be?

Consider the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 2^k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2^k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^k \end{array}\right]$$

- $R_M(b, n-1)$  is undefined unless  $b \ge \frac{2^k}{n}$ .
- Question : For a given matrix M, bound b, target rank r, can we efficiently test, whether R<sub>M</sub>(b, r) is defined ?

## Why should there be?

Consider the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 2^k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2^k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^k \end{array}\right]$$

- $R_M(b, n-1)$  is undefined unless  $b \ge \frac{2^k}{n}$ .
- Question : For a given matrix M, bound b, target rank r, can we efficiently test, whether R<sub>M</sub>(b, r) is defined ?

#### It is NP-hard.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

# A restricted case

For a given matrix M, bound b, testing whether  $R_M(b, n-1)$  is defined, is NP-complete.

Membership: The bound b defines an interval for each entry of the matrix.

Determinant is a multilinear polynomial on the entries of the matrix.

Now use the following lemma:

## Lemma (Zero-on-an-edge)

For a multilinear polynomial  $p(x_1, x_2 \dots x_t)$ , consider the hypercube defined by the interval of each of the  $x_i$ s. If there is a zero of the polynomial in the hypercube then there is a zero on an edge of the hypercube.

- ▶ NP algorithm : Guess the "nice" singular matrix and verify.
- ► Hardness: A reduction from MAX-CUT problem.

# **Open Problems**

- Is there a characterisation of other small complexity classes (like NL) using the rank/determinant computation?
- An NP upperbound for the general version of rigidity.
- An NP upperbound for bounded rigidity a generalisation of the zero-on-an-edge lemma to arbitrary rank.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

# Thank You

Eric Allender, Robert Beals, and Mitsunori Ogihara.

The complexity of matrix rank and feasible systems of linear equations.

In STOC 96, pages 161-167, 1996.

G. Dahl.

A note on nonnegative diagonally dominant matrices. *Linear Algebra and Applications*, 317:217–224, April 1999.

- Amit Jayant Deshpande. Private Communication (Sep 2006).
- K. Mulmuley.

A fast parallel algorithm to compute the rank of a matrix over an arbitrary field.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

Combinatorica, 7:101-104, 1987.

#### L. G. Valiant.

Graph theoretic arguments in low-level complexity. In *MFCS 1977*, pages 162–176, 1977.