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## What is SSA?

- Each assignment to a temporary is given a unique name
- All of the uses reached by that assignment are renamed
- Easy for straight-line code

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & \leftarrow 4 \\
& \leftarrow v+5 \\
& \leftarrow v 0 \\
& \leftarrow 4 \\
& \leftarrow 6 \\
& \leftarrow v_{0}+5 \\
& \leftarrow v+7
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
v_{1} & \leftarrow 6 \\
& \leftarrow v_{1}+7
\end{aligned}
$$

- What about control flow?
$\Rightarrow \phi$-nodes
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A sparse program representation for data-flow.


## Advantages of SSA over use-def chains

## - More compact representation

- Easier to update?
- Each use has only one definition
- Definitions explicitly merge values

May still reach multiple $\phi$-nodes

What is SSA?


## "Flavors" of SSA

## Where do we place $\phi$-nodes?

- [Condition:]

If two non-null paths $x \rightarrow^{+} z$ and $y \rightarrow^{+} z$ converge at node $z$, and nodes $x$ and $y$ contain assignments to $t$ (in the original program), then a $\phi$-node for $t$ must be inserted at $z$ (in the new program)

- [minimal]

As few as possible subject to condition

- [pruned]

As few as possible subject to condition, and no dead $\phi$-nodes

## Dominators revisited

Recall

- $d$ dominates $v, d$ DOM $v$, in a CFG iff all paths from Entry to $v$ include $d$
- $d$ strictly dominates $v$

$$
d \operatorname{DOM!~} v \Longleftrightarrow d \operatorname{DOM} v \text { and } d \neq v
$$

$\operatorname{DOM}(v)=$ Dominator of $v$
$\operatorname{DOM}^{-1}(v)=$ Dominated by $v$

## Dominance Frontiers

The dominance frontier of $v$ is the set of nodes $\operatorname{DF}(v)$ such that:

- $v$ dominates a predecessor of $w \in \operatorname{DF}(v)$, but
- $v$ does not strictly dominate $w \in \operatorname{DF}(v)$

$$
\operatorname{DF}(v)=\{w \mid(\exists u \in \underline{\operatorname{PRED}( }))[v \operatorname{DOM} u] \wedge v \overline{\operatorname{DOM!}} w\}
$$

- Computing DF:

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\operatorname{SUCC}(S)}=\bigcup_{s \in S} \underline{\operatorname{SUCC}}(s) \\
& \operatorname{DOM!^{-1}(v)}=\operatorname{DOM}^{-1}(v)-\{v\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{DF}(v) \quad=\underline{\operatorname{SUCC}}\left(\operatorname{DOM}^{-1}(v)\right)-\text { DOM! }^{-1}(v)
$$

## Dominance Frontier: Example

## Iterated Dominance Frontier


$\mathrm{DF}(8)=$
DF(9) =
$\mathrm{DF}(2)=$
$\operatorname{DF}(\{8,9\})=$
DF(10) =
$\operatorname{DF}(\{2,8,9,10\})=$

Extend the dominance frontier mapping from nodes to sets of nodes:

$$
\operatorname{DF}(S)=\bigcup_{n \in S} \operatorname{DF}(n)
$$

The iterated dominance frontier $\mathrm{DF}+(S)$ is the limit of the sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{DF}_{1}(S)=\operatorname{DF}(S) \\
& \mathrm{DF}_{i+1}(S)=\operatorname{DF}\left(S \cup \mathrm{DF}_{i}(S)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem:
The set of nodes that need $\phi$-nodes for any temporary $t$ is the iterated dominance frontier DF $+(S)$, where $S$ is the set of nodes that define $t$

## Inserting $\phi$-nodes (minimal SSA)

```
foreach }t\in\mathrm{ Temporaries do
    S\leftarrow{n|t\in\operatorname{Def(n)}}\cupEntry;
    Compute DF + (S);
    foreach n\inDF+(S) do
        Insert a }\phi\mathrm{ -node for }t\mathrm{ at }n\mathrm{ ;
    end
end
```

Input: Set of blocks $S$
Output: DF $+(S)$
begin
workList $\leftarrow\}$;
$\mathrm{DF}+(S) \leftarrow\{ \} ;$
foreach $n \in S$ do

workList $\leftarrow$ workList $\cup\{n\}$;
end
while workList $\neq\{ \}$ do
take $n$ from workList;
foreach $c \in \operatorname{DF}(n)$ do
if $c \notin \mathrm{DF}+(S)$ then
$\mathrm{DF}+(S) \leftarrow \mathrm{DF}+(S) \cup\{c\} ;$
workList $\leftarrow$ workList $\cup\{c\}$;
end
end
end
end

## Inserting fewest $\phi$-nodes (pruned SSA)

## Renaming the temporaries

Compute global liveness: nodes where each temporary is live-in

```
foreach \(t \in\) Temporaries do
    if \(t \in \overline{\text { Globals }}\) then
        \(S \leftarrow\{n \mid t \in \operatorname{Defs}(n)\} \cup\) Entry;
        Compute DF \(+(S)\);
        foreach \(n \in \mathrm{DF}+(S)\) do
            if \(t\) live-in at \(n\) then
                Insert a \(\phi\)-node for \(t\) at \(n\);
            end
        end
    end
end
```


## Renaming the temporaries

## begin

foreach $t \in$ Temporaries do count $[t] \leftarrow 0 ;$ stack $[t] \leftarrow$ empty; stack $[t]$.push $(0)$;
Call Rename(Entry);
end
Rename(n) begin
foreach statement $I \in n$ do
if stack $\neq \phi$ then
foreach $t \in U \operatorname{ses}(I)$ do $i \leftarrow \operatorname{stack[}[]$.top; replace use of $t$ with $t_{i}$ in $I$;
foreach $t \in \operatorname{Defs}(I)$ do
$i \leftarrow++$ count $[t] ;$ stack $[t]$.push $(i)$;
replace def of $t$ with $t_{i}$ in $I$;
foreach $s \in \operatorname{SUCC}(n)$ do
given $n$ is the $j$ th predecessor of $s$;
foreach $\phi \in s$ do
given t is the $j$ th operand of $\phi$;
$i \leftarrow \operatorname{stack}[t]$.top;
replace $j$ th operand of $\phi$ with $t_{i}$;
foreach $c \in \operatorname{Children}(n)$ do Rename(c); foreach statement $I \in n, t \in \operatorname{Defs}(I)$ do stack[t].pop();

## Issues in translation - critical edge split

Translation - the swap problem
Translating out $\phi$ nodes.

- The compiler inserts copy statements in the predecessors.
- Is it always safe?
- What if the predecessor has more than one successor?

- $i=1$;
loop
$y=i$
$i=i+1$
endloop
$z=i$
V.Krishna Nandivada (IIT Madras)


## (Swap problem) Normal Form, Optimized SSA, Incorrect Translation




- The definition of $\phi$ function:
- When a block executes all of its $\phi$ functions execute concurrently before any other statement in the block.
- All the $\phi$-functions simultaneously read their appropriate input parameters and simultaneously redefine their targets.

- Simply splitting a critical edge does not help.
- One simple way:
- Step 1: Copy each of the $\phi$ function arguments to its own temporary name.
- Step 2: Copy the temps to the appropriate $\phi$-function targets.
- Disadvantage: Doubles the number of copy operations.
- Way out - Introduce copy only when required.
- Detect cases in which $\phi$-functions reference the targets of other $\phi$ functions in the same block.
- For each cycle of references - introduce copy instructions.


## Sparse Conditional Constants

## Sparse Conditional constants

- SSA edge: Data flow (def-use) edges in a program in SSA form.
- Basic idea: Instead of passing all the constants from all the control flow edges, pass constants from SSA edges.
- Resulting analysis - faster.


## Self reading: Wegman \& Zadeck, Constant Propagation with Conditional Branches, TOPLAS 13(2):181-210, Apr 1991

- Works on two worklists:
- FlowWorkList (contains program flow edges) and
- SSAWorkList (contains SSA edges).
- Each flow edge has an executable flag - tells if the $\phi$ function at the destination is to be evaluated because of this flow edge initialized to false.


## Initialization and termination

- Initialize the FlowWorkList to contain the edges exiting the start node of the program.
- The SSAWorkList is initially empty.
- Halt execution when both worklists become empty.
- Execution may proceed by processing items from either worklist.


## Processing flow edges

- if $e$ is a flow edge from FlowWorkList then
- if ExecutableFlag $(e)=$ false then
- ExecutableFlag(e) = true
- Perform Visit- $\phi$ for all $\phi$-nodes at destination node.
- on the destination node, if only one incoming flow-edges is executable then this this is the first visit to the node
- If first visit Perform VisitExpression at the destination node
- if the dest node contains one outgoing CFGedge then add the edge to FlowWorkList

