## Amplifying lower bounds by means of self-reducibility

Eric Allender, Michal Koucky

Presented by, Balagopal

April 17, 2012

1/10

- Lower bounds problem
- Amplifying lower bounds
- Self-reducibility
- Some simple examples
- Self-reducubility for BFE
- Self-reducibility for MAJ

- To separate circuit complexity classes, we need to prove *superpolynomial* size lower bounds.
- Best known lower bounds are linear.
- Can we prove super-linear lower bounds?

- Certain problems have a structure which allows *amplifying* super-linear lower bounds to prove super-polynomial lower bounds.
- Note that the amplification depends on the problem.

- Solve L<sub>n</sub> using constant depth circuits using AND<sub>2</sub>, OR<sub>2</sub>, NOT and oracle gates for L<sub>n</sub><sup>ε</sup>
- These reductions are called pure  $\leq_T^{NC^0}$  downward self-reductions
- The work is done mainly by the oracle gates

- $AND_n$  using  $AND_{\sqrt{n}}$
- The product over a finite monoid,  $(W_M)_n$  using  $(W_M)_{\sqrt{n}}$
- How can we use this to amplify lower bounds?

- *BFE* Given a boolean formula and the values of variables, check whether it evaluates to 1.
- The formula is well-balanced. That is, it can be represented as a complete binary tree.
- *BFE* is *NC*<sup>1</sup>-complete.

- $MAJ_n$   $TC^0$  complete
- Can be computed by  $NC^0$  circuits with  $MAJ_{\sqrt{n}}$  oracle gates
- Idea: Compute the sum of n 1-bit integers and compare with n/2
- Key lemma: Given m, ℓ-bit integers transform to ℓ,
  ℓ + log(m + 1) bit integers such that y<sub>1</sub> + ... y<sub>m</sub> = z<sub>1</sub> + ... z<sub>ℓ</sub> using O(ℓm) MAJ<sub>2m</sub> gates.

- BFE requires  $n^{1+\epsilon_d}$  size on depth  $d TC^0$  circuits
- If the dependence on depth is eliminated, we have shown  $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^0\neq \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}^1$

## Thank you