

# CS6848 - Principles of Programming Languages

## Principles of Programming Languages

V. Krishna Nandivada

IIT Madras

## Parametric Polymorphism - System F

- System F discovered by Jean-Yves Girard (1972)
- Polymorphic lambda-calculus by John Reynolds (1974)
- Also called second-order lambda-calculus - allows quantification over types, along with terms.



## Recap

- Extensions to simply typed lambda calculus.
- Pairs, Tuples and records



## System F

- Definition of System F - an extension of simply typed lambda calculus.

### Lambda calculus recall

- Lambda abstraction is used to abstract terms out of terms.
- Application is used to supply values for the abstract types.

### System F

- A mechanism for abstracting types of out terms and fill them later.
- A new form of abstraction:
  - $\lambda X.e$  – parameter is a type.
  - Application –  $e[t]$
  - called type abstractions and type applications (or instantiation).



## Type abstraction and application

- $(\lambda X.e)[t_1] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow t_1]e$

### Examples

- $id = \lambda X.\lambda x : X.x$

Type of  $id$  :  $\forall X.X \rightarrow X$

$$applyTwice = \lambda X.\lambda f : X \rightarrow X.\lambda a : X.f (f a)$$

Type of  $applyTwice$  :  $\forall X.(X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \rightarrow X$



## Extension

- Expressions:

$$e ::= \dots | \lambda X.e[e[t]]$$

- Values

$$v ::= \dots | \lambda X.e$$

- Types

$$t ::= \dots | \forall X.t$$

- typing context:

$$A ::= \phi | A, x : t | A, X$$



## Evaluation

- [type application 1]  $e_1 \rightarrow e'_1 \implies e_1[t_1] \rightarrow e'_1[t_1]$

- type application 2  $(\lambda X.e_1)[t_1] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow t_1]e_1$



## Typing rules

- [type abstraction]  $A, X \vdash e_1 : t_1 \vdash \lambda X.e_1 : \forall X.t_1$

- [type application]  $A \vdash e_1 : \forall X.t_1 \vdash A \vdash e_1[t_2] : [X \rightarrow t_2]t_1$



$applyTwice = \lambda X. \lambda f : X \rightarrow X. \lambda a :$

## Polymorphic lists

### List of uniform members

- $nil : \forall X. List\ X$
- $cons : \forall X. X \rightarrow List\ X \rightarrow List\ X$
- $isnil : \forall X. List\ X \rightarrow bool$
- $head : \forall X. List\ X \rightarrow X$
- $tail : \forall X. List\ X \rightarrow List\ X$



## Example

- Recall: Simply typed lambda calculus - we cannot type  $\lambda x.x\ x$ .
- How about in System F?
- $selfApp : (\forall X. X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\forall X. X \rightarrow X)$



$Xf\ (f)$

## Church literals

### Booleans

- $tru = \lambda t. \lambda f. t$
- $fls = \lambda t. \lambda f. f$
- Idea: A predicate will return `tru` or `fls`.
- We can write `if pred s1 else s2` as  $(pred\ s1\ s2)$



## Building on booleans

- $\text{and} = \lambda b.\lambda c.b\ c\ \text{fls}$
- $\text{or} = ? \lambda b.\lambda c.b\ \text{tru}\ c$
- $\text{not} = ?$



## Building pairs

- $\text{pair} = \lambda f.\lambda s.\lambda b.b\ f\ s$
- To build a pair:  $\text{pair}\ v\ w$
- $\text{fst} = \lambda p.p\ \text{tru}$
- $\text{snd} = \lambda p.p\ \text{fls}$



## Church numerals

- $c_0 = \lambda s.\lambda z.z$
- $c_1 = \lambda s.\lambda z.s\ z$
- $c_2 = \lambda s.\lambda z.s\ s\ z$
- $c_3 = \lambda s.\lambda z.s\ s\ s\ z$

### Intuition

- Each number  $n$  is represented by a combinator  $c_n$ .
- $c_n$  takes an argument  $s$  (for successor) and  $z$  (for zero) and apply  $s$ ,  $n$  times, to  $z$ .
- $c_0$  and  $\text{fls}$  are exactly the same!
- This representation is similar to the unary representation we studies before.
- $\text{scc} = \lambda n.\lambda s.\lambda z.s\ (n\ s\ z)$



## Discussion on type inference



## (Recall) Type inference algorithm (Hindley-Milner)

**Input:**  $G$ : set of type equations (derived from a given program).

**Output:** Unification  $\sigma$

- 1 failure = false;  $\sigma = \{\}$ .
- 2 while  $G \neq \emptyset$  and  $\neg$  failure do
  - 1 Choose and remove an equation  $e$  from  $G$ . Say  $e\sigma$  is  $(s = t)$ .
  - 2 If  $s$  and  $t$  are variables, or  $s$  and  $t$  are both `Int` then continue.
  - 3 If  $s = s_1 - > s_2$  and  $t = t_1 - > t_2$ , then  $G = G \cup \{s_1 = t_1, s_2 = t_2\}$ .
  - 4 If  $(s = \text{Int}$  and  $t$  is an arrow type) or vice versa then failure = true.
  - 5 If  $s$  is a variable that does not occur in  $t$ , then  $\sigma = \sigma \circ [s := t]$ .
  - 6 If  $t$  is a variable that does not occur in  $s$ , then  $\sigma = \sigma \circ [t := s]$ .
  - 7 If  $s \neq t$  and either  $s$  is a variable that occurs in  $t$  or vice versa then failure = true.
- 3 end-while.
- 4 if (failure = true) then output "Does not type check". Else o/p  $\sigma$ .



## Examples - derive the types

- $a = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$
- $b = \lambda f. (f\ 3)$
- $c = \lambda x. (+(\text{head } x)\ 3)$
- $d = \lambda f. ((f\ 3), (f\ \lambda y. y))$
- $\text{appTwice} = \lambda f. \lambda x. f\ f\ x$



## "Occurs" check

- Ensures that we get finite types.
- If we allow recursive types - the occurs check can be omitted.
  - Say in  $(s = t)$ ,  $s = A$  and  $t = A - > B$ . Resulting type?
- What if we are interested in System F - what happens to the type inference? (undecidable in general)

Self study.

