$\begin{array}{c} CS591\text{-}5 \ Final \\ \text{IIT Mandi} \\ \text{Total marks} = 60, \ \text{Time} = 120 \ \text{min} \\ 30 \ \text{Mav} \ 2018 \end{array}$

Read the instructions and questions carefully. You may make any reasonably assumptions that you think are necessary; but state them clearly. Answer briefly. For questions with sub-parts, the division for the sub-parts are given in square brackets.

1. [20] End-to-end: Consider the following snippet of C code.

```
// Assume: i, num, val are integer variables.
// Array A is a one dimensional array of integers.
// print is an method that takes two integers as args.
// Size of integer = 32 bits.
// Number of available registers = 4; each of size 32 bits.
for (i=0;i<num;i=i+1)
if (A[i] == 0)
break;
print(i,0);
```

List ten unique tokens identified by the scanner [4]. Generate the corresponding three-address-codes [6]. Show the live-range interference graph [6]. Using the Kempe's heuristic do the register allocation (state the variables that gets registers/spilled) and show the generated code [4]. [Bonus] Use a hypothetical architecture and its assembly code to generate the final code [2].

2. [20] **Reaching definitions**. (a) Define reaching definition [2].

(b) Give two example program snippets (smaller the better) to show how reaching definitions can be used by the compiler to do something meaningful [4].

(c) Define IN and OUT functions for any basic-block n in terms of (other) IN, OUT, GEN and KILL maps [3].

(d) Argue that the worklist based algorithm (discussed in the class) that computes reaching definitions terminates [3].

(e) What is the complexity of the algorithm in big O notation? [3]. Briefly discuss.

(f) To compute reaching definitions, in the algorithm, we initialize the *OUT* maps to the empty set. If it was initialized to the set of all the definitions then will we get the desired solution? Yes, No, Sometime? Explain [3]. Feel free to explain using examples.

(g) What would happen if *IN* maps (for all the nodes except *entry*) were initialized to the empty set? To the set of all the definitions? [1+1]

3. [20] Mixed-Bag (a) Consider the following grammar to derive while loops:

For each of the possible nodes in the syntax tree, specify how you will process them to generate three-address codes [10].

(b) Draw the constant-propagation lattice, and define the meet and join rules [2].

(c) Which all C language constructs lead to merge points where we take meet of the constants, during our constant propagation algorithm? [3] (d) Present an extension to the discussed constant propagation algorithm to handle conditional constants [5]. That way, your algorithm should be able to identify conditional constants in codes of the following form:

a = 2; c = a; ... if (c == 2){ ... b = 3 ... }else { ... b = 4 ... } print a, b, c; // a, c are simple constants. // b is a conditional constant that evaluates to 3. Since it can // proven that only one branch is ever taken - since we know // the value of the condition is always the same (true, in this case).