# CS6235 Final Exam: May 11 2023

Maximum marks = 50, Time: 2.00 hrs, Closed Book, Closed Neighbor

| Name: | Roll: |
|-------|-------|
|       |       |

- Write your roll number on each of the answer sheet.
- The marks of each question is specified next to the question.
- Advise: start each question on a new page.
- True or False questions: Each incorrect True/False answer will lead to a deduction of 0.5 mark.
- 1. Escape Analysis [5]
  - Write a sample Java code, where the flow-sensitive and flow-insensitive thread escape-analysis will give different results. [2]
  - For your written code, mention the thread escape-analysis results for both the flow-sensitive and flow-insensitive dimensions. [2]
  - [True/False] In the absence of thread escape-analysis we can use strong updates on the stores in parallel Java applications. [1]
- 2. Deadlock detection [5] Consider the deadlock detection scheme discussed in the class using resource allocation graph.
  - Write an example Java code where the deadlock detection algorithm will give a false positive (that is, the algorithm reports the presence of deadlock, but the deadlock will not occur in practice). [2.5]
  - Show the resource allocation graph at the points where the deadlock is reported. [1.5]
  - [True/False] The deadlock detection algorithm has no false negatives. [1]

## 3. Memory consistency model. [5]

- Give a memory trace that is not consistent as per sequential consistency, but is consistent as per weak ordering. [2]
- Give a memory trace that is not consistent as per TSO, but is consistent as per PSO. [2]
- [True/False] If a trace satisfies TSO, it is guaranteed to satisfy Weak ordering.

## 4. Memory consistency model: Programmer's view [5]

- Give an example that shows that sequential consistency is not easy to use from the programmer's point of view. [2]
- For the above example you have written, mention the best consistency (along with an explanation) from the programmer's point of view. [2]
- [True/False] A DRF-0 program may not satisfy SC. [1]

# 5. Data-Race Detection [5]

- Write a Java program and its trace which shows HB based race, but no CP based race. Show the HB and CP based orderings and give the reasoning for race. [4]
- [True/False] There can be a trace which leads to a CP based race, but not an HB based race. [1]

- 6. Serial program analysis-I. [5]
  - Write a program that shows how a context-sensitive points-to analysis is more precise than contextinsensitive points-to analysis. [2]
  - Write a program that show how a inter-procedural points-to analysis is more precise than intra-procedural points-to analysis. [2]
  - [True/False] In the most conservative must points-to analysis, each variable points to the empty set. [1]

## 7. Serial program analysis-II. [5]

- Answer the following question in the context of intra-procedural points-to/alias analysis of Java programs discussed in the class [1 × 4]:
  - (i) What is the size of the lattice?
  - (ii) What is the abstract stack  $(\rho)$  initialized to at the beginning of a function?
  - (iii) Between the abstract stack ( $\rho$ ) and abstract heap ( $\sigma$ ), in general, which one has more number of elements?
  - (iv) During the analysis of a function call statement, whose contents ( $\rho$  or  $\sigma$ ) are guaranteed to not change, if any?
- If in a function, no variable is defined more than once, flow sensitivity does not improve the precision. [1]
- 8. MHP Analysis [5].
  - Recall the incremental MHP analysis studied in the class. Give an example parallel program written in a language with finish-async constructs such that if we re-introduce the async constructs, followed by the finish constructs, then the computed MHP information would be imprecise. [2]
  - If we re-introduce the **async** constructs, followed by the **finish** constructs, then the computed MHP information can be unsound. If yes, give an example program. Else prove otherwise. [2]
  - [True/False] Flow insensitive points-to analysis requires MHP analysis. [1]

#### 9. Intermediate Representation [5].

- Write a C function with more than 2 statements, such that the number of basic-blocks (excluding the start and end basic blocks) is equal to the number of "semicolon" terminated statements in the function. [2]
- Write three-address code for the following C code.

```
// Assume that the array A is declared as
// int A[4][5];
i = 0;
j = 0;
do
    do
    sum += A[i][j];
    j++;
    while (j < 5);
i++;
    while (i < 4);</pre>
```

• [True/False] The number of nodes in the PEG can be greater than the number of statements of the program.

# 10. Liveness analysis

- Write a program, where using the equation  $in[n] = use[n] \cup out[n] def[n]$  will lead to incorrect results. [2]
- Write a program, with more than 2 variables, such that each variable is live only at one statement. [2]
- [True/False] If a variable is live-out at a node, it is live-in at each of its successors. [1]