



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JUL-NOV 2018

Employee ID :008841

Faculty Name : Prashanth

Course No :CS6700

Course Name : Reinforcement Learning

Responses / Regn :28/37

Department :Computer Science and Engineering

Summary						
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.80	0.82	0.17	0.12	0.82	0.78
Instructor	0.89	0.90	0.10	0.08	0.85	0.81

Question-Wise Response								
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	12	14	1	0	1	0	0.86	0.82
2	18	6	3	1	0	0	0.89	0.80
3	17	8	2	1	0	0	0.89	0.84
4	15	9	4	0	0	0	0.88	0.80
5	14	11	2	0	1	0	0.86	0.81
6	19	8	1	0	0	0	0.93	0.84
7	9	10	6	1	2	0	0.76	0.80
8	19	6	2	0	1	0	0.90	0.76
9	6	9	6	3	3	1	0.69	0.73
10	12	8	4	2	2	0	0.79	0.78
11	14	10	3	0	1	0	0.86	0.80

NOTE:SA(STONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list
1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.The concepts of the course were communicated well
3.The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
4.The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
5.The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
6.The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
7.The course was planned and structured well
8.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
9.The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the learning experience
10.Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
11.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 6 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 7 to 11 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks

the course was neat. maybe some more guidance needed related to the type of projects expected in the course. overall a good experience.

More of RL or splitting this into a two semester course would be beneficial.

The course was taught really well.

More assignments would help. Built very strong mathematical foundation required for the subject. Professor should consider making the course more intensive. I would have loved to dedicate more time to RL. :-)

we could have spent on the core RL part. I would have preferred to get more intuitions than the mathematical rigour.

Overall course content and teaching was good. The effort put by professor is praisable. The course only lagged in solving examples in classroom.

Could have moved on to RL before quiz 2. Liked the mathematical rigour. Enjoyed the course.

very good intro to rl.

good

Enjoyed the course. I think starting RL a little early would be better as more concept and diff algorithms can be discussed in detail.

+1 for the jokes in class

The course should have covered more of RL than MDPs. The assignments could have been harder than what was given. Otherwise the course was covered well with proper theoretical proofs.

Number of Students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course

No Remarks Given