

## 1 Identity Based Encryption

We describe the IBE scheme given by Boneh and Franklin in 2001.

**Setup**( $1^\lambda$ ): Choose groups  $G$  and  $G_T$  of prime order  $p > 2^\lambda$  along with a bilinear map  $e : G \times G \rightarrow G_T$  and a generator  $g$ . Choose random  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and define the master secret key  $\text{MSK} = \alpha$ . Define the public parameters

$$\text{PP} = (G, G_T, g, g_1 = g^\alpha, H)$$

where  $H$  is a hash function treated as a random oracle in the security proof. Output  $(\text{MSK}, \text{PP})$ .

**KeyGen**( $\text{PP}, \text{MSK}, \text{ID}$ ): Output the secret key

$$d_{\text{ID}} = (H(\text{ID}))^\alpha$$

**Encrypt**( $\text{PP}, \text{ID}, M$ ): Sample random  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . Output  $C = (C_1, C_2)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= g^r \\ C_2 &= M \cdot e(g_1, H(\text{ID}))^r \end{aligned}$$

**Decrypt**( $\text{PP}, d_{\text{ID}}, C$ ): Parse  $C$  as  $(C_1, C_2)$  and output

$$M = \frac{C_2}{e(C_1, d_{\text{ID}})}$$

**Correctness:** We now prove correctness of the encryption scheme described above.

$$\begin{aligned} e(C_1, d_{\text{ID}}) &= e(g^r, H(\text{ID})^\alpha) \\ &= e(g, H(\text{ID}))^{\alpha r} \\ &= e(g^\alpha, H(\text{ID}))^r \\ &= e(g_1, H(\text{ID}))^r \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\frac{C_2}{e(C_1, d_{\text{ID}})} = \frac{M \cdot e(g_1, H(\text{ID}))^r}{e(g_1, H(\text{ID}))^r} = M$$

## Security

**Theorem:** The Boneh-Franklin IBE is IND-ID-CPA secure in the Random Oracle Model if the DBDH assumption holds in  $(G, G_T)$ .

*Proof:* Assume there exists an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  for the IBE scheme described earlier. We construct an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  for a DBDH challenger as follows:

1.  $\mathcal{B}$  is given  $(g, g^a, g^b, g^c)$  and  $T$  by the DBDH challenger where  $T$  is either  $e(g, g)^{abc}$  or  $e(g, g)^d$  where  $a, b, c, d \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ .
2.  $\mathcal{B}$  provides  $\mathcal{A}$  with the public parameters  $PP = (G, G_T, g, g_1 = g^a, H)$ . Implicitly,  $MSK = a$
3. Initialize  $L = \{\}$
4. When  $\mathcal{A}$  queries  $H(\text{ID})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  does the following:
  - (a) Return previously defined  $H(\text{ID})$  if it exists
  - (b) Flip a coin  $b_{\text{ID}} \in \{0, 1\}$  with probabilities

$$\Pr(b_{\text{ID}} = 0) = \frac{q}{q+1}$$
$$\Pr(b_{\text{ID}} = 1) = \frac{1}{q+1}$$

where  $q$  is the number of hash queries.

- (c) If  $b_{\text{ID}} = 0$ , sample random  $\beta_{\text{ID}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and define  $H(\text{ID}) = g^{\beta_{\text{ID}}}$
  - (d) If  $b_{\text{ID}} = 1$ , sample random  $\beta_{\text{ID}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and define  $H(\text{ID}) = (g^b)^{\beta_{\text{ID}}}$
  - (e) Store  $(\text{ID}, b_{\text{ID}}, \beta_{\text{ID}}, H(\text{ID}))$  in  $L$
5. When  $\mathcal{A}$  queries secret key of an ID,  $\mathcal{B}$  does the following: (Without loss of generality, we assume that every private key query was preceded by the corresponding hash query)
    - (a) If  $b_{\text{ID}} = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  fails and outputs a random bit.
    - (b) If  $b_{\text{ID}} = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  computes and returns  $d_{\text{ID}} = (g^a)^{\beta_{\text{ID}}}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$
  6. Generation of challenge ciphertext and proof of security of reduction shall be covered in the next lecture.

## References

[BF01] Dan Boneh and Matthew K. Franklin. Identity-based encryption from the weil pairing. In Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO 2001, 21st Annual International Cryptology Conference, Santa Barbara, California, USA, August 19-23, 2001, Proceedings, pages 213–229, 2001.