

CS6848 - Principles of Programming Languages

Principles of Programming Languages

V. Krishna Nandivada

IIT Madras

- Extensions to simply typed lambda calculus.
- Pairs, Tuples and records



Parametric Polymorphism - System F

- System F discovered by Jean-Yves Girard (1972)
- Polymorphic lambda-calculus by John Reynolds (1974)
- Also called second-order lambda-calculus - allows quantification over types, along with terms.

System F

- Definition of System F - an extension of simply typed lambda calculus.

Lambda calculus recall

- Lambda abstraction is used to abstract terms out of terms.
- Application is used to supply values for the abstract types.

System F

- A mechanism for abstracting types out of terms and fill them later.
- A new form of abstraction:
 - $\lambda X.e$ – parameter is a type.
 - Application – $e[t]$
 - called type abstractions and type applications (or instantiation).



Type abstraction and application

•

$$(\lambda X.e)[t_1] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow t_1]e$$

Examples

•

$$id = \lambda X. \lambda x : X. x$$

Type of $id : \forall X. X \rightarrow X$

$$applyTwice = \lambda X. \lambda f : X \rightarrow X. \lambda a : X. f a$$

Type of $applyTwice : \forall X. (X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \rightarrow X$



Evaluation

•

$$\text{type application 1} — \frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1[t_1] \rightarrow e'_1[t_1]}$$

•

$$\text{type application 2} — (\lambda X. e_1)[t_1] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow t_1]e_1$$



Extension

- Expressions:

$$e ::= \dots | \lambda X. e | e[t]$$

- Values

$$v ::= \dots | \lambda X. e$$

- Types

$$t ::= \dots | \forall X. t$$

- typing context:

$$A ::= \phi | A, x : t | A, X$$



Typing rules

•

$$\text{type abstraction} — \frac{A, X \vdash e_1 : t_1}{A \vdash \lambda X. e_1 : \forall X. t_1}$$

•

$$\text{type application} — \frac{A \vdash e_1 : \forall X. t_1}{A \vdash e_1[t_2] : [X \rightarrow t_2]t_1}$$



Examples

- $\text{id} = \lambda X. \lambda x : X x$

$\text{id} : \forall X. X \rightarrow X$

type application: $\text{id} [\text{Int}] : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

value application: $\text{id} [\text{Int}] 0 = 0 : \text{Int}$

- $\text{applyTwice} = \lambda X. \lambda f : X \rightarrow X. \lambda a : X f (f a)$

$\text{ApplyTwiceInts} = \text{applyTwice} [\text{Int}]$

$\text{applyTwice} [\text{Int}] (\lambda x : \text{Int}. \text{succ}(\text{succ}x)) 3$
= 7



Example

- Recall: Simply typed lambda calculus - we cannot type $\lambda x. x x$.
- How about in System F?
- $\text{selfApp} : (\forall X. X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\forall X. X \rightarrow X)$



Polymorphic lists

List of uniform members

- $\text{nil} : \forall X. \text{List } X$
- $\text{cons} : \forall X. X \rightarrow \text{List } X \rightarrow \text{List } X$
- $\text{isnil} : \forall X. \text{List } X \rightarrow \text{bool}$
- $\text{head} : \forall X. \text{List } X \rightarrow X$
- $\text{tail} : \forall X. \text{List } X \rightarrow \text{List } X$



Church literals

Booleans

- $\text{tru} = \lambda t. \lambda f. t$
- $\text{fls} = \lambda t. \lambda f. f$
- Idea: A predicate will return `tru` or `fls`.
- We can write if pred s1 else s2 as (pred s1 s2)



Building on booleans

- $\text{and} = \lambda b. \lambda c. b \ c \ \text{fls}$
- $\text{or} = ? \lambda b. \lambda c. b \ \text{tru} \ c$
- $\text{not} = ?$

Building pairs

- $\text{pair} = \lambda f. \lambda s. \lambda b. b \ f \ s$
- To build a pair: $\text{pair} \ v \ w$
- $\text{fst} = \lambda p. p \ \text{tru}$
- $\text{snd} = \lambda p. p \ \text{fls}$



Church numerals

- $c_0 = \lambda s. \lambda z. z$
- $c_1 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s \ z$
- $c_2 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s \ s \ z$
- $c_3 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s \ s \ s \ z$

Intuition

- Each number n is represented by a combinator c_n .
- c_n takes an argument s (for successor) and z (for zero) and apply s , n times, to z .
- c_0 and fls are exactly the same!
- This representation is similar to the unary representation we studies before.
- $\text{scc} = \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. s \ (n \ s \ z)$



Discussion on type inference



Input: G: set of type equations (derived from a given program).

Output: Unification σ

- ① failure = false; $\sigma = \{\}$.
- ② while $G \neq \emptyset$ and \neg failure do
 - ① Choose and remove an equation e from G. Say $e\sigma$ is $(s = t)$.
 - ② If s and t are variables, or s and t are both Int then continue.
 - ③ If $s = s_1 \rightarrow s_2$ and $t = t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, then $G = G \cup \{s_1 = t_1, s_2 = t_2\}$.
 - ④ If $(s = \text{Int}$ and t is an arrow type) or vice versa then failure = true.
 - ⑤ If s is a variable that does not occur in t , then $\sigma = \sigma \circ [s := t]$.
 - ⑥ If t is a variable that does not occur in s , then $\sigma = \sigma \circ [t := s]$.
 - ⑦ If $s \neq t$ and either s is a variable that occurs in t or vice versa then failure = true.
- ③ end-while.
- ④ if (failure = true) then output "Does not type check". Else o/p σ .



“Occurs” check

- Ensures that we get finite types.
- If we allow recursive types - the occurs check can be omitted.
 - Say in $(s = t)$, $s = A$ and $t = A \rightarrow B$. Resulting type?
- What if we are interested in System F - what happens to the type inference? (undecidable in general)

Self study.

- $a = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$
- $b = \lambda f. (f\ 3)$
- $c = \lambda x. (+(\text{head}\ x)\ 3)$
- $d = \lambda f. ((f\ 3), (f\ \lambda y. y))$
- $\text{appTwice} = \lambda f. \lambda x. ff\ x$

