INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JAN-MAY 2022

Employee ID : 008841

Course No : CS2810

Responses / Regn : 75/97

Faculty Name : Prashanth

Course Name : Object-Oriented Algorithms Implementation and Analysis Lab

Department : Computer Science and Engineering

Summary

Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.83	0.80	0.17	0.12	0.80	0.78
Instructor	0.82	0.80	0.15	0.12	0.80	0.79
Technical Staff	0.82	0.80	0.17	0.10	0.80	0.80

Question-Wise Response

Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	26	29	16	4	0	0	0.81	0.79
2	28	32	12	2	1	0	0.82	0.80
3	27	32	13	2	1	0	0.82	0.78
4	24	30	10	7	4	0	0.77	0.76
5	38	28	6	1	1	1	0.87	0.83
6	30	27	14	1	1	2	0.83	0.80
7	28	26	11	2	2	6	0.82	0.78
8	27	32	11	3	2	0	0.81	0.74
9	32	31	9	0	3	0	0.84	0.77
10	35	28	9	2	1	0	0.85	0.79
11	27	30	12	2	1	3	0.82	0.81
12	28	29	14	3	1	0	0.81	0.80

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list

1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely

2.Sufficient background material/manuals/instructions/computational resources were available in advance for each class

3.The instructor provided help to understand the concepts involved and to improve my skill

4.Evaluation was done in a fair and impartial manner

5.The laboratory classes were conducted closely following the schedule

6.The course implements the experimental aspects of the relevant concepts effectively

7.The availability and condition of the equipment/computational resources were good

8.The quantum of the work is adequate for the credits involved

9.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest	
10.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set	
11.I received adequate support from the technical/laboratory staff	
12.I received adequate support from the teaching assistants	

NOTE:Qn 1 to 5 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 6 to 10 - Course evaluation : Qn 11 & 12 - TA evaluation

Student Remarks

The Midsem exam pattern was absolutely stupid. much better question could have been framed instead of providing many questions with less time. Also weightage of marks in labs(40% for code, 60% for test cases) Imagine someone write a code(using some system function) and passes test cases whereas someone tries to write the actual implementation but due to some small mistake he is unable to pass the test cases. in this scenario the first guy gets more marks than 2nd person, which is so unfair.

Great Instructor

the labs and problem statements were intresting and challenging

Teaching and assignments were good, but the midsem exam was on a totally different scale. Something that tests our learning without focusing too much on speed would be better

.

Teaching was very interactive. I was able to understand the concepts easily. Lab assignments were helpful to implement the concepts well. I was very much satisfied with the course.

Nice experience.

very good

Nice course

The Mid- semester exam was a traumatizing experience .

good course

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course

No Remarks Given