



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JUL-NOV 2023

Employee ID :008841

Faculty Name : Prashanth

Course No :CS3500

Course Name : Operating Systems

Responses / Regn :81/96

Department :Computer Science and Engineering

Summary						
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.82	0.84	0.19	0.12	0.79	0.79
Instructor	0.84	0.90	0.19	0.10	0.82	0.82

Question-Wise Response								
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	39	31	6	0	5	0	0.84	0.82
2	38	27	11	1	4	0	0.83	0.80
3	37	25	11	4	4	0	0.81	0.84
4	43	25	9	1	3	0	0.86	0.81
5	43	24	5	3	6	0	0.83	0.81
6	47	22	6	3	3	0	0.86	0.83
7	42	24	10	1	4	0	0.84	0.80
8	44	26	6	1	4	0	0.86	0.78
9	31	16	15	5	14	0	0.71	0.75
10	40	24	9	4	4	0	0.83	0.78
11	43	29	3	2	4	0	0.86	0.81

NOTE:SA(STONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list
1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.The concepts of the course were communicated well
3.The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
4.The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
5.The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
6.The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
7.The course was planned and structured well
8.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
9.The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the learning experience
10.Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
11.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 6 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 7 to 11 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks

Best prof this semester :) Make the labs easier though.

\n\n

relaxed course, found it very interesting, instructor very calm and made class engaging and interactive

Course is nice ,just some TAs are not good, sometime they lead to me wrong path in doing code while doing inlab assignment.\n

best prof ever for this course. made everything very clear, very interactive classes, polls were interesting and innovative style of teaching. however, TAs were not good and lab viva was totally dependent on TA, so the grading wasn't normalised across groups.

TAs are worst

Professor is really good and supportive, but sadly he has more faith over his TA than his students. I am a victim of such a trauma and dont think will come out of it easily....Also good luck to him for his future courses

perfection limit exceeded prasla.\nthis semester jokes are not so good .\nbetter luck next time.??

The teacher is absolutely amazing. His teaching really made me to listen to the class. Making groups, conducting polls, and giving handouts and teaching from them helped me a lot. This whole active learning things has helped me lot.\n

The course content was very good and it is presented in a very effective way by the instructor.\n\nThe summaries helped us to stay on track and made classes non boring.\n\nThe active learning ideas actually helped us so much to involve and learn something useful.\n\nI really appreciate the instructors effort and enthusiasm in the course.

Instructor was not very enthusiastic while teaching, was not explaining everything clearly. Felt more like a\n summary class than an actual class\n\nLab sessions were very strict and were like exams. Usage of internet should be given, to give a better learning experience.\n\nPositive side, humour and jokes in the class are nice and helps us students :)\n\n

Good course with good assignments. \nNice teaching and scheduling the order of topics.

The TAs followed the grading rules on their own, like some TAs who has idea on OS they conducted viva but those who dont know os they didnt conducted viva which is highly unfair considering this is an rg course.\n\nThe professor taught till quiz 2 very slowly and ran very fast after quiz2

The course was very well structured. The only issue was with TAs and the way labs were conducted. TAs often didnt know the specifics of the lab, and labs were copied from online sites. Additionally, whoever went first got an advantage. In lab assignments were not impartiality graded with great variation in grading across TAs. the questions asked were Boolean in nature and not conceptual. On evaluation days, we ended up wasting 2/2.5 hrs waiting for when we will be called for evaluation.

OS is a very useful course and in future we use this practically but here labs are occuring worst and useful things are not teaching and this is 15 credit relative grading course but TAS Grading very differently some tas are very strict and some are very loose even some students not submitting some tas giving marks , and each ta reducing marks very differently one ta reducing by 1 marks and other ta reducing 5 marks for same mistake, so this will effect many students grades .

The course was interesting and the professor was enthusiastic about it. Most of the teaching assistants for the lab part of the course were very unfamiliar with the topics taught which caused many instances of unfair \nevaluation. Me and also others hesitate to ask clarifications in the lab as we only get a lackluster and basic responses.

professor was very good,but TA teaching session for labs was useless,I feel lab sessions can be conducted more effectively.

Best prof in sem 5 fr. Supercool way of teaching using polls and all .Os class helped a lot to tackle the stress from sem5 other courses.Though lab tas are a great pain but prashanth made sure we learn xv6.Both course and instructor both are super interesting and super cool. And groups thing also helped to concentrate and polls thing encouraged a lot.\nThank you Prashanth sir

great strategies to make students engage in learning

amazing and understanding prof who listens to students concerns\n\nnice to have mini quizzes before every exam\n

nill

The last two takehomes should have been planned properly.\n

