



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JUL-NOV 2018

Employee ID :008606

Faculty Name : RUPESH NASRE

Course No :CS3300

Course Name : Compiler Design

Responses / Regn :62/67

Department :Computer Science and Engineering

Summary						
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.83	0.80	0.13	0.08	0.82	0.78
Instructor	0.90	0.93	0.13	0.07	0.85	0.81

Question-Wise Response								
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	40	20	0	1	1	0	0.91	0.82
2	28	30	2	0	2	0	0.86	0.80
3	40	17	4	0	1	0	0.91	0.84
4	35	22	3	1	1	0	0.89	0.80
5	40	17	2	0	3	0	0.89	0.81
6	51	9	1	0	1	0	0.95	0.84
7	42	17	2	1	0	0	0.92	0.80
8	17	21	18	5	1	0	0.75	0.76
9	18	27	9	6	1	1	0.78	0.73
10	27	25	6	1	3	0	0.83	0.78
11	26	29	5	2	0	0	0.85	0.80

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list	
1.	The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.	The concepts of the course were communicated well
3.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
4.	The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
5.	The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
6.	The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
7.	The course was planned and structured well
8.	The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
9.	The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the learning experience
10.	Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
11.	Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 6 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 7 to 11 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks

The best part about this course is slides. It covers relevant sections of text book. You planned the flow of course well in advance. I regret to say that I couldnt keep up and expected for deadlines extension. At this point of time, I just feel like to get over this assignments. Lastly, I thank you for your time and efforts and also for being considerate when asked for deadlines extension.

Student requires more number of hours than that is required as per course credits to complete assignments and do outside class preparation .

course was organized, interested and well taught

The assingments were okay, but the labs were a disappointment. The process was better than OOAIA lab. Other things were fine

The course couldve been structured a bit better, the course is 15 credits but the workload seemed to exceed that frequently. The quizzes and teaching were good.

Good labs structure, can only focus on core and ignore details. Good instructor. Hard to catch up if we lose track. Nice quizzes too. Slides are good but very good only when revising. Made me understand compilers as a subject to the intended extent and also improved my coding abilities further.

Hidden test cases for lab were useful as we have to check with our own but is assignments a small mistake would result in loss of many marks. So either viva or Hacker rank evaluation for assignments would be better. Optimization was really interesting (especially data flow analysis) so more lectures on that is needed. Concept should have been taught before giving assignment on them. Really enjoyed the course!

Having an assignment 4 type system for all the lab assignments would have helped a lot. Well taught without making the topic seem dry. The classwork problems helped.

Workload in the course was much higher compared to credits for the course

The work load was too much. Assignments took lot of time. The course consumed more than the sum of the durations consumed by all other courses registered. Assignments were given, but not even single hint was given on how to proceed with the assignment. It became very difficult for me to do the assignments, because I had to ask and enquire my friends a lot of things. Assignment evaluations were purely test-case based. No marks were given based on demo. Even a small error in code, costs a lot.

I found that there is no connection between theory classes and labs and that made labs very difficult. Also I felt that 4 assignments + 14 labs is a very high work load and I almost spend every weekend doing them.

You are an awesome prof and kind hearted human..... For course purpose...oh man what can we say your planning is awesome so farsighted

too many assignments and are very difficult.

Almost every assignment involved concepts not yet covered in class so we had to do a lot of trial and error to get started. As a result I had to spend a lot of time every week only on Compilers assignments and was left with not so much time to handle other courses work. It might have been a bit better if the frequency of assignments were reduced a bit so that we need not have to spend a huge fraction of our time on this course alone.

hes pretty good. would be better if he was lenient in administrative perspective but not a thing should change in his teaching style or his behaviour with his students

the course was a heavy burden, since it had lot of labs and assignments. it ate up the whole time. there should have been lesser number of labs.

prof was too savage and sassy.

Difficult concepts in parsing were rushed up in class. The professor got struck during few classes which indicated he didnt prepare for class. Classes were interesting . I felt assignment were mostly hardcoding , coding case by case . The professor or TA were not able to setup assignment evaluation server which was setup in previous course offering. It would have been very helpful if they were able to setup submission server. Assignment problem statement and testcases were error prone.

Rupesh sir always teaches well.

Finishing assignments without the score on private testcases was quite stressful. The mark reduction for failed makes was a nice addition to the misery.

The course structure was very well organized. Error rate in testcases was pretty high. TA should have been asked to write code for generating output to avoid errors. Some clear mention about the assumptions for labs/assignments would have helped to avoid some confusions. The evaluation of labs/assignments should not be solely based on outcome of testcases, and if so, the testcases should cover wider aspects of problem statement.

Number of Students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course

No Remarks Given