



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JAN-MAY 2025

Employee ID :008606

Faculty Name : RUPESH NASRE

Course No :CS6023

Course Name : GPU Programming

Responses / Regn :34/102

Department :Computer Science and Engineering

Summary						
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.87	0.90	0.12	0.10	0.80	0.79
Instructor	0.94	1.00	0.11	0.00	0.83	0.83

Question-Wise Response								
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	24	8	2	0	0	0	0.93	0.82
2	25	7	2	0	0	0	0.94	0.80
3	27	6	1	0	0	0	0.95	0.84
4	25	7	2	0	0	0	0.94	0.81
5	24	7	2	1	0	0	0.92	0.81
6	27	5	2	0	0	0	0.95	0.83
7	25	8	1	0	0	0	0.94	0.80
8	22	7	5	0	0	0	0.90	0.78
9	11	8	12	2	1	0	0.75	0.76
10	18	10	6	0	0	0	0.87	0.78
11	22	8	4	0	0	0	0.91	0.81

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list
1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.The concepts of the course were communicated well
3.The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
4.The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
5.The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
6.The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
7.The course was planned and structured well
8.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
9.The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the learning experience
10.Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
11.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 6 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 7 to 11 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks
While I thoroughly enjoyed the course would be happier if the assignments were absolutely graded (in some decent manner) like the course grading instead of pitting students against each other like normal. Causes us to spend a lot more time than usual.
i really liked the professionalism of Prof. Rupesh Nasre. all the lectures started at exact time. course material was always accessible on the website.
To TAs A4 Assignment was too much if you want us to work for it sure, but give a clear well defined problem . not a open ended problem which is
I encourage instructors choice of having extended discussion with students in the class but it decreases my listening interest and looses connection with the class(may be my personal issue also).some doubts are insightful but some are trivial(may be for me).
was a good course, with a very good professor. Well structured content along with external lectures. Last assignment was slightly harder than expected
The course was interesting upto the first half, where certain important concepts were covered. However, the second half seemed theoretical and just going over cuda documentation and didnt really add conceptual knowledge. A4 was given very less time to do justice to the implementation
all assignments were good, but just the last assignment is toooo much ambiguous. Complexity is one thing but ambiguity is another. it's soo ambiguous that everyone i know decided to take project because of this.
The course was good, and the instructor explained the topics clearly and showed good knowledge. However, the assignments were not well handled by the TAs. They were hard to complete, not very useful for general learning, and some of the penalty rules were unclear and made the assignments more complicated than needed.
It's truly, just NOT; yet another course.
Good teacher, with a good sense of humor.

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course
No Remarks Given