Data Dependences



Data Dependence Types

flow-dependence: occurs when a variable which is assigned a value in one statement, e.g. S1, is read by another statement, e.g. S2, later. Written as S1δfS2.

anti-dependence: occurs when a variable read by one statement, e.g. S1, is assigned an updated value in another statement, e.g. S2, later. Written as S1δaS2.

output-dependence:occurs when a variable which is assigned avalue inone statement, e.g. S1, is later re-assigned an updatedvalue in another statement, e.g. S2, later.Written asS1δoS2.

Data Dependences

S1: a = b + c	IN(Si) : the set of memory locations read by the statement Si.
S2: d = a * 2	OUT(Si) : the set of memory locations
S3: a = c + 2	written by the statement Si. (note a specific memory location may be both
S4: e = d + c + 2	N(Si) and OUT(Si).)

٠

A data dependence exists between two statements Si and Sj when the OUT set of one of the statements has a nonempty intersection with the IN or OUT set of the other

stmt readv	vrite_		
S1 b,c	а		
S2 a	d	$OUT(S1) \cap IN(S2) = \{a\} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow S1\delta fS2$	
S3 c	а	$OUT(S1) \cap OUT(S3) = \{a\} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow S1\delta oS3,$	
		IN(S2) ∩ OUT(S3) = {a} ≠ ∅ → S2δaS3	
S4 d,c	е	$OUT(S2) \cap IN(S4) = \{d\} \neq \emptyset \rightarrow S2\delta fS4$	

Data Dependences in Loops

Associate a dynamic instance to each statement. For example

```
For i = 1 to 50
S1: A(i) = B(i-1) + C(i)
S2: B(i) = A(i+2) + C(i)
EndFor
```

Statements S1 and S2 are executed 50 times. We say S2(10) to mean the execution of S2 when i = 10

Dependences are based on dynamic instances of statements

Data Dependences in Loops

Unrolling loops can help one figure out dependences:

٠

S1(1):	A(1) = B(0) + C(1)	
S2(1):	B(1) = A(3) + C(1)	
S1(2):	A(2) = B(1) + C(2)	
S2(2):	B(2) = A(4) + C(2)	
S1(3):	A(3) = B(2) + C(3)	
S2(3):	B(3) = A(5) + C(3)	
• • • • • • • •		
S1(50):	A(50) = B(49) + C(50)	
S2(50):	B(50) = A(52) + C(50)	

Iteration Spaces

Nested loops define an iteration space:

٠

٠

٠

```
For i = 1 to 4
    for j = 1 to 4
        A(i,j) = A(i,j) + C(j)
        Endfor
Endfor
```

- Sequential execution (traversal order):
- Dimensionality of iteration space = loop nest level; arbitrary convex shapes are allowed
- · Change in order of execution is valid if no dependences are violated



Dependences in Loop Nests

 L_j and U_j are affine functions of enclosing loop indices I_1, \cdots, I_{j-1}

There is a dependence in a loop nest if there are iterations $\vec{I} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ and $\vec{J} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)$ and some memory location M such that: $-\vec{I}$ and \vec{J} are valid iteration instances $-\vec{I} \prec \vec{J}$, i.e., \vec{I} executes before \vec{J} or \vec{I} precedes \vec{J}

 $-S(\vec{I})$ and $S(\vec{J})$ reference M

Dependence Level

We say that $\vec{I} \prec_u \vec{J}$ (for u = 1, ..., n) if: - $I_k = J_k$ for k = 1, ..., u - 1 and,

 $-I_u < J_u$

u is defined as the level of the dependence.

If $I_k = J_k$ for all values of k, then we say that the dependence is loop independent.

If $\vec{I} \prec_u \vec{J}$, then the dependence is at level u; we also refer to this as a dependence carried by the loop i_u

Dependence Distance Vector

- Suppose there is a dependence from $S(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ in a $S(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)$
- We define the dependence *distance vector* as

•

•

•

•

$$(j_1 - i_1, j_2 - i_2, \cdots, j_n - i_n)$$

- Legality of transformations is defined in terms of distance vectors or approximations to distance vectors, such as direction vectors and dependence levels
- Level of a dependence is position of rightmost positive component in dependence vector

Dependence Direction Vector

We define sign of a real number x as follows:

- $\operatorname{sign}(x) = 0 \text{ if } x=0$
- $\operatorname{sign}(x) = + \operatorname{if} x > 0$
- sign(x) = if x < 0
- Suppose we have a dependence from S(i1, ..., in) to S(j1, ..., jn). The dependence distance is (j1-i1, ..., jn-in)

The *direction vector* for this dependence is:

(sign(j1-i1), sign(j2-i2), ..., sign(jn-in))

For loop nests that have loops that count up, the first (left-most) non-zero component of any dependence vector must be positive

RHS reference	Туре	Distance Vector	Direction Vector	Level
A(i,j-3)	Flow	(0,3)	(0,+)	2
A(i-2,j)	Flow	(2,0)	(+,0)	1
A(i-1,j+2)	Flow	(1,-2)	(+,-)	1
A(i+1,j-1)	Anti	(1,-1)	(+,-)	1

RHS reference	Туре	Distance Vector	Direction Vector	Level
A(i,j-3)	Flow	(0,3)	(0,+)	2
A(i-2,j)	Flow	(2,0)	(+,0)	1
A(i-1,j+2)	Flow	(1,-2)	(+,-)	1
A(i+1,j-1)	Anti	(1,-1)	(+,-)	1



RHS reference	Туре	Distance Vector	Direction Vector	Level
A(i,j-3)	Flow	(0,3)	(0,+)	2
A(i-2,j)	Flow	(2,0)	(+,0)	1
A(i-1,j+2)	Flow	(1,-2)	(+,-)	1
A(i+1,j-1)	Anti	(1,-1)	(+,-)	1

RHS reference	Туре	Distance Vector	Direction Vector	Level
A(i,j-3)	Flow	(0,3)	(0,+)	2
A(i-2,j)	Flow	(2,0)	(+,0)	1
A(i-1,j+2)	Flow	(1,-2)	(+,-)	1
A(i+1,j-1)	Anti	(1,-1)	(+,-)	1



RHS reference	Туре	Distance Vector	Direction Vector	Level
A(i,j-3)	Flow	(0,3)	(0,+)	2
A(i-2,j)	Flow	(2,0)	(+,0)	1
A(i-1,j+2)	Flow	(1,-2)	(+,-)	1
A(i+1,j-1)	Anti	(1,-1)	(+,-)	1

Validity (Legality) of Loop Transformations In a program, let there be a dependence <u>from</u> some instance of statement <u>S1</u> (called <u>source</u>) <u>to</u> some instance of statement <u>S2</u> (called <u>sink</u>)

A <u>transformation</u> of a program is said to be <u>valid</u> if in the transformed version, <u>every sink executes after its</u> <u>corresponding source</u>.

Transformations: Loop interchange

Interchange: Exchanges two loops in a perfectly nested loop, also known as permutation

For I = 1, N
For J = 1, M

$$S(I,J)$$
For J = 1, M
For I = 1, N
 $S(I,J)$

Interchange can improve locality

٠

٠

٠

It is not always legal; can change the dependence level, direction and distance. (d1,d2) becomes (d2,d1)

Valid Loop Interchange



Invalid Loop Interchange



Validity Condition for Loop Interchange

- Loop interchange is valid for a 2D loop nest if none of the dependence vectors has any negative components
 - Interchange is legal: (1,1), (2,1), (0,1), (3,0)
 - Interchange is not legal: (1,-1), (3,-2)
 - Equivalent view: After permutation, inner dimension becomes outer dimension and vice versa; so permute the dependence vectors of original loop and check if they are still valid dependence vectors.
- (1,1) -> (1,1): valid

•

•

•

 (1,-1) -> (-1,1): Not a valid dependence vector; hence loop interchange will result in violation of dependence

Validity Condition for Loop Permutation

- Generalization for higher-dimensional loops: permute all dependence vectors exactly the same way as the intended loop permutation: if any permuted vector is lex. Negative, permutation is illegal
- Example: d1 = (1,-1,1) and d2 = (0,2,-1)
- ijk -> jik? (1,-1,1) -> (-1,1,1): illegal
- · ijk -> kij? (0,2,-1) -> (-1,0,2): illegal
- · ijk -> ikj? (0,2,-1) -> (0,-1,2): illegal
- No valid permutation:

٠

- j cannot be outermost loop (-1 component in d1)
- k cannot be outermost loop (-1 component in d2)

Validity Condition for Loop Unroll/Jam

Sufficient condition can be obtained by observing that complete unroll/jam of a loop is equivalent to a loop permutation that moves that loop innermost, without changing order of other loops

If such a loop permutation is valid, unroll/jam of the loop is valid

Example: 4D loop ijkl; d1 = (1,-1,0,2), d2 = (1,1,-2,-1)

- i: d1-> (-1,0,2,1) => invalid to unroll/jam

٠

•

- j: d1-> (1,0,2,-1); d2 -> (1,-2,-1,1) => valid to unroll/jam
- k: d1 -> (1,-1,2,0); d2 -> (1,1,-1,-2) => valid to unroll/jam
- I: d1 and d2 are unchanged; innermost loop always unrollable

Validity Condition for Loop Distribution

Sufficient (but not necessary) condition: A loop with two statements can be distributed if there are no dependences from any instance of the later statement to any instance of the earlier one. Generalizes to more statements.

Example: Loop distribution is not valid (executing all S1 first and then all S2) For T = 1. N

For I = 1, N S1: A(I) = B(I) + C(I)S2: E(I) = A(I+1) * D(I)EndFor

Example: Loop distribution is valid

For I = 1, N S1: A(I) = B(I) + C(I)S2: E(I) = A(I-1) * D(I)EndFor

Validity Condition for Tiling

- A contiguous band of loops can be tiled if they are fully permutable
- A band of loops is fully permutable of all permutations of the loops in that band are legal
- Example: d = (1, 2, -3)

•

•

•

- Tiling all three loops ijk is not valid, since the permutation kij is invalid ((-3,1,2) is lex. –ve)
- 2D tiling of band ij is valid (jik: (2,1,-3) is lex. +ve)
- 2D tiling of band jk is valid (ikj: (1,-3,2) is lex. +ve)

Transformations: Loop Parallelization

A loop in a loop nest can be executed in parallel if it does not carry a dependence

```
For I = 1, N
For J = 1, M
A(I,J) = A(I-1,J)
```

•

•

• The dependence distance is (1,0); the outer (I) loop carries the dependence.

The inner (J) loop does not carry any dependences

For I = 1, N ForPAR J = 1, M A(I,J) = A(I-1,J)

Examples of Parallelization

For
$$I = 1$$
, 10
A(I) = A(I) + 5

Parallel

For
$$I = 1$$
, 10
A(I) = A(I-1) + 5

Not parallel

For
$$I = 1$$
, 10
A(I) = A(I-10) + 5

Parallel (Why?)