



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JAN-MAY 2020

Employee ID :008606

Faculty Name : RUPESH NASRE

Course No :CS2810

Course Name : Object-Oriented Algorithms
Implementation and Analysis Lab

Responses / Regn :60/75

Department :Computer Science and Engineering

| Summary | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------|--------|---------|------|-----------|----------------|
| Evaluation | Mean | Median | Std Dev | MAD | Dept Mean | Institute Mean |
| Course | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.77 | 0.79 |
| Instructor | 0.81 | 0.80 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.74 | 0.79 |
| Technical Staff | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.76 | 0.82 |

| Question-Wise Response | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|----|----|----|-----|----|------|----------------|
| Question No | SA | A | N | DA | SDA | NA | Mean | Institute Mean |
| 1 | 19 | 22 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0.77 | 0.79 |
| 2 | 27 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0.81 | 0.79 |
| 3 | 19 | 27 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.80 | 0.78 |
| 4 | 23 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| 5 | 30 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 0.83 |
| 6 | 23 | 25 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.83 | 0.80 |
| 7 | 29 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.88 | 0.78 |
| 8 | 24 | 23 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0.81 | 0.74 |
| 9 | 19 | 23 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0.79 | 0.76 |
| 10 | 23 | 27 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.83 | 0.79 |
| 11 | 22 | 26 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| 12 | 21 | 21 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0.78 | 0.80 |

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

| Question list |
|--|
| 1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely |
| 2.Sufficient background material/manuals/instructions/computational resources were available in advance for each class |
| 3.The instructor provided help to understand the concepts involved and to improve my skill |
| 4.Evaluation was done in a fair and impartial manner |
| 5.The laboratory classes were conducted closely following the schedule |
| 6.The course implements the experimental aspects of the relevant concepts effectively |
| 7.The availability and condition of the equipment/computational resources were good |
| 8.The quantum of the work is adequate for the credits involved |

| |
|---|
| 9.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest |
| 10.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set |
| 11.I received adequate support from the technical/laboratory staff |
| 12.I received adequate support from the teaching assistants |

NOTE:Qn 1 to 5 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 6 to 10 - Course evaluation : Qn 11 & 12 - TA evaluation

| Student Remarks |
|---|
| Grading was not fair. Assignments were given without proper explanation of the topic prior to it. During lockdown, assignment submission was a difficulty and no alternative method was present. Quantity of work done is more than the credits allotted. |
| the grading wasnt done properly by the instructor . I demand that the exam be conducted instead of scaling up grades which is unfair to the people who want to improve |
| good |
| Good |
| As the DAA course and OOAIA course were not interdependent, some algorithms which were taught later in class had to be implemented in lab earlier without the proper knowledge. Due to this, in case we referred the internet, it led to code similarity as many people would need to do the same. The TAs were also not very helpful in this aspect. |
| teaching assistants were not as helpful as pds course.Maybe midsem paper was a bit tough if you already didnt solve the question |
| Tas were better in pds course when compared to this |
| Excellent teaching and great emphasis with hands-on approach. |
| The labs were effective except in cases where the TAs messed up test cases or included an insanely tough problem as an assignment. Also,they were cases where TAs helped certain students when they shouldnt. The assignments on concepts on OOPs could have been with pretty simple objective but induces us to explore the various features of the language and use our creativity in doing so. The assignments on Algorithms were really interesting and helpful |
| the course was structured very properly and taught well. |
| good |
| A good course overall |
| One of the best professors in the CSE department! |

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:0

| Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course |
|--|
| No Remarks Given |