

## **INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS**

**TCF Evaluation: JAN-MAY 2018** 

Employee ID: 008606 Faculty Name: RUPESH NASRE

Course No :CS6843 Course Name : Program Analysis

Responses / Regn: 28/29 Department: Computer Science and Engineering

Summary											
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean					
Course	0.84	0.84	0.11	0.08	0.80	0.82					
Instructor	0.92	0.93	0.08	0.07	0.84	0.85					

Question-Wise Response												
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean				
1	13	14	1	0	0	0	0.89	0.86				
2	16	11	1	0	0	0	0.91	0.85				
3	20	8	0	0	0	0	0.94	0.89				
4	16	11	1	0	0	0	0.91	0.85				
5	19	9	0	0	0	0	0.94	0.86				
6	17	11	0	0	0	0	0.92	0.88				
7	11	15	2	0	0	0	0.86	0.83				
8	20	8	0	0	0	0	0.94	0.87				
9	22	5	1	0	0	0	0.95	0.88				
10	20	7	1	0	0	0	0.94	0.84				
11	7	13	8	0	0	0	0.79	0.84				
12	5	13	6	2	1	1	0.74	0.78				
13	14	10	3	1	0	0	0.86	0.83				
14	12	13	3	0	0	0	0.86	0.86				

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

## **Question list**

- 1. The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
- 2. The concepts of the course were communicated well
- 3. The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
- 4. The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
- 5. The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
- 6. The instructor was willing and available for help outside the class if required
- 7. The instructor took interest in monitoring the progress of the students throughout the course
- 8. The instructor encouraged student-teacher interaction and other relevant learning activities in the class when required

9.The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely

10.The course was planned and structured well

11.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest

12.The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the course

13.Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively

14.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 9 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 10 to 14 - Course evaluation

## Student Remarks

boring course was interesting only because of the professor, the objective was clear throughout and learning was great.

Sir is quite student friendly!

Sir is quite student friendly!

I think it will be really good to create some sample codes that might help the students to get along LLVM easily. The official doc of llvm is not so welcoming for beginners. If llvm examples can be provided, more assignments could be added to the course reducing the weightage of individual assignments. The assignment logics were fairly straightforward but they were too time consuming just because of the difficulty in getting along with llvm

good

good

The 85% attendance policy should be loosened.

Did not find the course as interesting as expected

LLVM assignments where interesting..

## Number of Students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course

No Remarks Given