INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JUL-NOV 2019

Employee ID :008606

Course No :CS2710

Responses / Regn :72/79

Faculty Name : RUPESH NASRE

Course Name : Programming and Data Structures Lab

Department : Computer Science and Engineering

Summary

Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.89	0.94	0.14	0.06	0.84	0.77
Instructor	0.88	0.92	0.15	0.08	0.83	0.78
Technical Staff	0.86	1.00	0.18	0.00	0.82	0.81

Question-Wise Response

Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	35	29	5	1	1	1	0.87	0.79
2	46	20	4	0	2	0	0.90	0.79
3	41	21	7	2	1	0	0.88	0.77
4	39	23	4	3	2	1	0.86	0.76
5	46	22	3	0	1	0	0.91	0.83
6	40	25	5	1	1	0	0.88	0.80
7	45	22	4	0	1	0	0.91	0.78
8	38	22	7	4	0	1	0.86	0.74
9	43	26	1	0	1	1	0.91	0.76
10	42	26	3	0	1	0	0.90	0.79
11	40	22	5	3	1	1	0.87	0.81
12	39	23	5	1	4	0	0.86	0.80

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list
1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.Sufficient background material/manuals/instructions/computational resources were available in advance for each class
3.The instructor provided help to understand the concepts involved and to improve my skill
4.Evaluation was done in a fair and impartial manner
5.The laboratory classes were conducted closely following the schedule
6.The course implements the experimental aspects of the relevant concepts effectively
7.The availability and condition of the equipment/computational resources were good
8.The quantum of the work is adequate for the credits involved

9.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest	
10.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set	
11.I received adequate support from the technical/laboratory staff	
12.I received adequate support from the teaching assistants	

NOTE:Qn 1 to 5 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 6 to 10 - Course evaluation : Qn 11 & 12 - TA evaluation

Student Remarks

Excellent Teaching

The problems must be set properly without any ambiguity. Usually there are too many ambiguities, and the TA who set the question themself does not know what the question demands. We should be able to implement the data structures, not read the TAs mind. This led to huge wastage of everyones time and very inacurate test cases, and was very disappointing. The TA who created a huge confusion was allowed to create the next assignment which proved to be a disaster

Excellent experience with an awesome range of questions Ive never seen ......quite interesting lab ever

Good.

good

in few labs the quèstion was not clear due to which the lab was extended although we needed extra time but due to that in that particular week I was not able to read other subjects because I was struggling with the code when the question is given even if it is hard it is fine but it should be clear enough so that the student understands it.

satisfied\n

Nice questions with a decent deadline\n

Test cases should be made more carefully.\n

Amazing professor

In certain assignments there were error in test cases. In quiz 1, even though I was not feeling well, I had to spend an hour and a half debugging my code just to learn that there was a problem with test case. There were multiple such occasions. This could be rectified.

Super fun.

\n

Good

The TAs for this course did not do their job at all. Week after week, they kept making faulty test cases and had to issue clarification after clarification. The prof was not strict enough with them about this.\n

Not enough support for clearing fundamentals in lab.

somtimes there is too much work to be done for a single assignment and so leads to wasting a lot of time

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course

No Remarks Given