

Concepts in Edge Detection

Dr. Sukhendu Das
Deptt. of Computer Science and Engg.,
Indian Institute of Technology, Madras
Chennai – 600036, India.


<http://www.cs.iitm.ernet.in/~sdas>
Email: sdas@iitm.ac.in

Edge Detection


Edge is a boundary between two homogeneous regions. The gray level properties of the two regions on either side of an edge are distinct and exhibit some local uniformity or homogeneity among themselves.

An edge is typically extracted by computing the derivative of the image intensity function. This consists of two parts:

- **Magnitude of the derivative:** measure of the strength/contrast of the edge
- **Direction of the derivative vector:** edge orientation



Ideal Step edge in 1-D




Step edge in 2-D

Computing the derivative: Finite difference in 1-D

$$\frac{df}{dx} \approx \frac{f(x+dx) - f(x)}{dx} \approx \frac{f(x+dx) - f(x-dx)}{2dx}$$

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} \approx \frac{f(x+dx) - 2f(x) + f(x-dx)}{dx^2}$$





© www.aviewoncities.com

Original Image

Horizontal derivative

Vertical derivative

Differentiation using convolution:

$$\delta f / \delta x = [-1 \ 1];$$

$$\delta f / \delta y = [-1 \ 1]^T;$$

$$\delta^2 f / \delta x^2 = [1 \ -2 \ 1];$$

$$\delta^2 f / \delta y^2 = [1 \ -2 \ 1]^T;$$

Need to use wider masks to add an element of smoothing and better response. The traditional derivative operators used were:

Roberts

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Prewitt

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sobel

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Laplacian

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

-1	-1	-1
-1	8	-1
-1	-1	-1

Laplacian Operator

$\frac{1}{8}$

-1	0	1
-2	0	2
-1	0	1

 S_x
 $\frac{1}{8}$

1	2	1
0	0	0
-1	-2	-1

 S_y

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

Sobel

=

1
2
1

weighted average
and scaling

x

1	0	-1
---	---	----

x-derivative

Run filter over image

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = S_x \otimes f$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = S_y \otimes f$$

Image gradient

$$\nabla f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right]$$

-5	-4	0	4	5
-8	-10	0	10	8
-10	-20	0	20	10
-8	-10	0	10	8
-5	-4	0	4	5

5	8	10	8	5
4	10	20	10	4
0	0	0	0	0
-4	-10	-20	-10	-4
-5	-8	-10	-8	-5

Two components of the edge values computed are:


Gradient values: $G_x = \delta f / \delta x$; $G_y = \delta f / \delta y$.

The magnitude of the edge is calculated as:

$$|G| = [G_x^2 + G_y^2]^{1/2}$$

and orientation as:

$$\theta = \arctan(G_y / G_x)$$




Most of these partial derivative operators are sensitive to noise. Use of these masks resulted in thick edges or boundaries, in addition to spurious edge pixels due to noise.

Laplacian mask is highly sensitive to spike noise. Use of noise smoothing became mandatory before edge detection, specifically for noisy images. But noise smoothing, typically by the use of a Gaussian function, caused a blurring or smearing of the edge information or gradient values.

A Gaussian function is shown below. The width of the Gaussian depends on the variance σ . The value of σ dictates the amount of smoothing. The expression of the Gaussian function is given as:


$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



Gaussian Function


Marr and Hildreth (1980) suggested the use of the “Laplacian of the Gaussian” (LOG) operator to detect edges. This produced edges as Zero-Crossings (ZC’s) in the output function - why??



However, it did not give any idea of the gradient magnitude or orientation of the edges. But ZC’s were spread through-out an image. How do one detect true edges from ZC’s??







LOG operator in 1-D

LOG operator in 2-D








Images

**Horizontal
Intensity
Profiles**

**First
Derivative**



One-dimensional edge profiles

Model of an ideal digital edge



Model of a ramp digital edge






STEP

RAMP

LINE

ROOF






**Original Gray
scale Image**



X-gradient



Y-gradient




**Total Gradient
Magnitude**



**Bi level
Thresholding**



**Original Grey
level Image**



After Laplace Operator



After Zero-crossing




δF




$\delta^2 F$


Edge




**Noisy
Edge**



First Derivative



Second Derivative




Canny in 1986 suggested an optimal operator, which uses the Gaussian smoothing and the derivative function together. He proved that the first derivative of the Gaussian function, as shown below, is a good approximation to his optimal operator.

It combines both the derivative and smoothing properties in a nice way to obtain good edges. Canny also talks of a hysteresis based thresholding strategy for marking the edges from the gradient values.

Smoothing and derivative when applied separately, were not producing good results under noisy conditions. This is because, one opposes the other. Whereas, when combined together produces the desired output.

Expression of Canny (1-D operator is):

$$c(y) = g'(y) = \left(\frac{-y}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^3} \right) \exp\left(\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$



Canny's algorithm for edge detection:

Detect an edge, where simultaneously the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{G} * \mathbf{f} = 0 \text{ and}$$

$\nabla \mathbf{G} * \mathbf{f}$ reaches a maximum.


$\nabla \mathbf{G}$ is the first derivative of the Gaussian defined (in 1-D) as:

$$\nabla G(x) = \frac{-x}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2^3} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right)$$

and

$\nabla^2 \mathbf{G}$ in two-dimension is given by (also known as the “*Laplacian of the Gaussian*” or **LOG operator**):

$$\nabla^2 G(r) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi\sigma^4}\right)(r^2/2\sigma^2 - 1) \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$



$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\nabla G(x) =$$

$$\frac{-x}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^3} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\nabla^2 G(x) =$$

$$\frac{-\left[\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)^2 - 1\right]}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^3} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

1. Detection:

The probability of detecting real edge points should be maximized while the probability of falsely detecting non-edge points should be minimized. This corresponds to maximizing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

(Detection of edge with low error rate, which means that the detection should accurately catch as many edges shown in the image as possible).

2. Localization:

The detected edges should be as close as possible to the real edges.

(The edge point detected from the operator should accurately localize on the center of the edge).

3. Number of responses:

Minimize the number of local maxima around the true edge;

One real edge should not result in more than one detected edge

(a given edge in the image should only be marked once, and where possible, image noise should not create false edges).

A Computational Approach to Edge Detection

JOHN CANNY, MEMBER, IEEE

The general solution in the range $[-W, 0]$ may now be written


$$f(x) = a_1 e^{\alpha x} \sin \omega x + a_2 e^{\alpha x} \cos \omega x + a_3 e^{-\alpha x} \sin \omega x + a_4 e^{-\alpha x} \cos \omega x + c. \quad (35)$$

This function is subject to the boundary conditions


$$f(0) = 0 \quad f(-W) = 0 \quad f'(0) = s \quad f'(-W) = 0$$

where s is an unknown constant equal to the slope of the function f at the origin. Since $f(x)$ is asymmetric, we can extend the above definition to the range $[-W, W]$ using $f(-x) = -f(x)$. The four boundary conditions enable us to solve for the quantities a_1 through a_4 in terms of the


G:
Gaussian
Function




Noisy
Edge, S_n






δG




$\delta G * S_n$







**Noisy
Edge, Sn**




δG




$\delta^2 G$



$\delta G * Sn$



$\delta^2 G * Sn$



Lena



Sobel



LOG



Canny



Venice



Sobel



LOG





Canny




BIRD



SOBEL



LOG



Canny

Three criteria in the optimization function used by Canny, for deriving the operator:



- **Localization, Detection and minimal response** (SNR-based).

The three stages of Canny's algorithm:

- **Apply Operator** (often implemented as Smoothing then Derivative)
- **Apply non-maximal suppression**
- **Apply hysteresis based (linking and) thresholding**




Read about - **DERICHE** recursive filtering




Non-maximum suppression:

Select the single maximum point across the width of an edge.

Graphical Interpretation of non-maximal suppression



Wide ridges around the local maxima (large values *around* the edges)



NONMAX_SUPPRESSION (Mag, Dir)

- Consider 4 directions $\mathbf{Del}^+ = \{ (1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 1), (-1, 1) \}$
 $\mathbf{Del}^- = \{ (-1, 0), (-1, -1), (0, -1), (1, -1) \}$
- For each pixel (i, j) do:
 1. Find the direction of gradient (normal to the edge)
 $\mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{Dir}(i, j) + \pi/8) \bmod \pi/4$ *<* or should be “div” ?? *>*
 2. If $\mathbf{Mag}(i, j)$ is smaller than at least one of its neigh. along $\mathbf{d}$ then $I_N(i, j) = 0$, otherwise, $I_N(i, j) = \mathbf{Mag}(i, j)$
If $\mathbf{Mag}(i, j) < \mathbf{Mag}((i, j) + \mathbf{Del}^+(\mathbf{d}))$ then $I_N(i, j) = 0$
Else If $\mathbf{Mag}(i, j) < \mathbf{Mag}((i, j) + \mathbf{Del}^-(\mathbf{d}))$ then $I_N(i, j) = 0$
Else $I_N = \mathbf{Mag}(i, j)$
- The output is the thinned edge image I_N


Example





original image (Lena)

Derivative of Gaussian filter ??


(say, Gaussian and LOG in 2-D given earlier)




x-direction



y-direction



Compute Gradients (DoG)



X-Derivative of Gaussian



Y-Derivative of Gaussian




Gradient Magnitude


Get Orientation at Each Pixel

- Threshold at minimum level
- Get orientation

$\theta = \text{atan2}(g_y, g_x)$




Non-maximum suppression for each orientation



At *q*, we have a maximum if the value is larger than those at both *p* and at *r*. Interpolate to get these values.

Check if pixel is local maximum along gradient direction.

Requires checking interpolated pixels *p* and *r*



Predicting the next edge point

Assume the marked point is an edge point. Then we construct the tangent to the edge curve (which is normal to the gradient at that point) and use this to predict the next points (here either r or s).


Gradient



r

s

(Forsyth & Ponce)




Example: Non-Maximum Suppression



courtesy of G. Loy

magnitude

Non-maxima
suppressed



Before Non-max Suppression




After non-max suppression





<https://towardsdatascience.com/canny-edge-detection-step-by-step-in-python-computer-vision-b49c3a2d8123>







NMS Results



Non-Max Suppression image (left) — Bi-level Thresholding result (right): weak pixels in gray and strong ones in white.




Hysteresis thresholding

- Threshold at low/high levels to get weak/strong edge pixels
- Do connected components, starting from strong edge pixels




Hysteresis thresholding

- Check that maximum value of gradient value is sufficiently large
 - drop-outs? use **hysteresis**
 - use a high threshold to start edge curves and a low threshold to continue them.



Closing edge gaps

- Check that maximum value of gradient value is sufficiently large and...
... use hysteresis.
 - use a high threshold to start edge curves and a low threshold to continue them.



Canny Edge Detection (Hysteresis)

**Original
image**



**Strong +
connected
weak edges**



**Strong
edges
only**



**Weak
edges**



courtesy of G. Loy

Final Canny Edges












Original Image, Presmoothed Image, Gradient Image, Non-maximum Suppressed Image, Final Result





Original Image, Presmoothed Image, Gradient Image, Non-maximum Suppressed Image, Final Result






$\frac{1}{115}$	2	4	5	4	2
	4	9	12	9	4
	5	12	15	12	5
	4	9	12	9	4
	2	4	5	4	2

Figure 3 Discrete approximation to Gaussian function with $\sigma=1.4$

<http://www-scf.usc.edu/~boqinggo/Canny.htm>

Examples of using Deriche filter on various source images

Source image				
Filtered image				
Filter parameters	$\alpha = 1.5$ low treshold = 20 high treshold = 40	$\alpha = 4.0$ low treshold = 50 high treshold = 90	$\alpha = 0.8$ low treshold = 26 high treshold = 41	$\alpha = 1.0$ low treshold = 15 high treshold = 35

Effect of threshold



original



$$\sigma = 1$$

$$T_{\text{high}} = 255 \quad T_{\text{low}} = 1$$



$$\sigma = 1$$

$$T_{\text{high}} = 255 \quad T_{\text{low}} = 220$$

Effect of threshold and of σ (Gaussian kernel size)



original



$\sigma = 1$



$\sigma = 2$

$T_{\text{high}} = 120$ $T_{\text{low}} = 1$

$T_{\text{high}} = 120$ $T_{\text{low}} = 1$

The choice of σ depends on desired behavior

- large σ detects large scale edges
- small σ detects fine features

Multi-scale Edge detection

Problem definition

- **Our goal is to simultaneously extract edges of all lengths**
- **Edges are well localized across the scale-space**



Input image



Edge map generated by single scale



**Edge map generated by scale space
Combination**




$\sigma=0.5$



$\sigma=1.5$

**2-D Canny
edge maps**




$\sigma=1$



$\sigma=2$


LOG with increasing SIGMA



Motivation

- **A step edge is sensed at various points by cells of the retinal array**
- **Real-world objects are composed of different structures at different scales**
- **Connectivity of an object depends on the scale at which it is observed**
- **In real-world images the edges may not be ideal**
- **Variation of the response over different scales is important**

Optimal Edge Detection in Two-Dimensional Images



SALIENCY TEST

Compute the **gradient map** of Gaussian blurred image

Assign the magnitude of the **gradient as edge strength** to all edge pixels

Edge strength is equalized (**HEQ**) to full scale of intensity

Compute the **histogram** for the **edge segment strengths**

Compute the **normalized edge strength (NES)** for all edge segments as sum of strengths of all the edge points divided by length of the segment

Fit a Gaussian to the low intensity part of the histogram and compute **three threshold (Low, medium and high)** based on mean and variance of Gaussian

Compute edge subsegments and compute **NESS** for each subsegment

NES > MT

yes

NESS > LT

yes

No

NESS > HT

yes

Salient edge Map

NES: Normalized Edge Strength

NESS: Normalized Edge Strength of sub-segment



LT: Low Threshold

HT: High threshold



MT: Medium Threshold

Histogram of the normalised edge strengths and fitted Gaussian distribution

$\sigma=0.5$



$\sigma=1.5$





$\sigma=0.5$


$\sigma=1$

$\sigma=1.5$

$\sigma=2$



2-D Canny edge map



Salient Edge maps

Combining different scales

- The combination procedure checks if there are new salient edges in the detection results from larger scales

Algorithm

1. **Minmap, maxmap= edge map of smallest scale**
2. **Compare maxmap with second smallest scale edgemap**
3. **If an edge segment of minimum length from second smallest scale does not appear in maxmap, add that particular segment to minmap**
4. **Repeat step 2 and step 3 with various scales**
5. **Minmap is the final combined scale output**



**Scale space combination
2-D Canny edge model**

**Lena
256x256**



**Scale space combination
of Qian & Huang
edge model**

REFERENCES

**“Digital Image Processing and Computer Vision”; Robert J. Schallkoff;
John Wiley and Sons; 1989+.**

**“Digital Image Processing”; R. C. Gonzalez and R. E. Woods; Addison
Wesley; 1992+.**

**Optimal Edge Detection in Two-Dimensional Images, Richard J. Qian and
Thomas S. Huang, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON IMAGE PROCESSING,
VOL. 5, NO. 7, JULY 1996, 1215-1220.**

**A Two-Dimensional Edge Detection Scheme for General Visual Processing,
Qian, R.J. and Huang, T.S, ICPR-94, YEAR = "1994", "595-598".**

**R. Deriche, *Using Canny's criteria to derive a recursively implemented
optimal edge detector*, Int. J. Computer Vision, Vol. 1, pp. 167–187,
April 1987.**

**J. Canny, *A Computational Approach To Edge Detection*, IEEE Trans.
Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 8(6):679–698, 1986.**

**R. Sirdey, *A Gentle Introduction to the Deriche Optimal Edge Detector*,
Éditions des Nik's news, 1998.**

Read about:

- **Hysteresis based Thresholding**
- **Non-maximal suppression**
- **Edge Linking & Thinning**
- **Edge preserving enhancement or super-resolution**
- **Contour Tracing**
- **Level set based or differential geometry based analysis**
- **Edge detection with sub-pixel accuracy**
- **Neuro-fuzzy models for optimal edge detection**
- **Phase Congruency** model (**Peter Kovesi**) for edge detection
- **Deriche model** for optimal/recursive filtering
- **Neural model** for supervised edge detection
- **Physics based processing**
- **Optimization based (MRF, HMM) edge detection**, in presence of noise and blur
- **Multi-channel edge detection**
- **Berkeley Edge Detection/segmentation**
- **Structured Forests**

Few Modern / State-of-the-Art Edge Detectors

- -Berkeley, Spatial Clustering;
 - SCG
 - ST
 - SF

References

- Martin, David R., Charless C. Fowlkes, and Jitendra Malik. "Learning to detect natural image boundaries using brightness and texture." *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 2002.
- Martin, David R., Charless C. Fowlkes, and Jitendra Malik. "Learning to detect natural image boundaries using local brightness, color, and texture cues." *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions* (2004): 530-549.
- Arbelaez, P., Maire, M., Fowlkes, C., & Malik, J. (2011). Contour detection and hierarchical image segmentation. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, 33(5), 898-916. ([gPb+owt+ucm](#))
- Xiaofeng, Ren, and Liefeng Bo. "Discriminatively trained sparse code gradients for contour detection." *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2012. ([SCG](#))
- Lim, Joseph, C. Zitnick, and Piotr Dollár. "Sketch tokens: A learned mid-level representation for contour and object detection." *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2013 ([Sketch Tokens](#))
- Dollár, Piotr, and C. Zitnick. "Structured forests for fast edge detection." *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*. 2013. ([SE](#))
- Dollár, Piotr, and C. Lawrence Zitnick. "Fast edge detection using structured forests." *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*; 37/8 (2015): 1558-1570.

Berkley Edge Detector - Key Ideas (2002, 2004)

- Based on cues
 - Brightness, Color and Texture
- Cues are Optimized and then Simple Linear model for Cue combination is proposed

Results:

**BG - Brightness
Gradient;**

**CG –
Color Gradient;**

**TG –
Texture Gradient**


**Images are from
BSDS500 Dataset**



Improvement on the Previous Method (gPb+owt+ucm) (2011)

- Contour Detector - Combines Local cues into Globalization framework based on **Spectral Clustering**
- Also, gives Hierarchical Segmentation Output

Results (gPb+owt+ucm):




Row 1 - Input Images from BSDS500 Dataset

Row 2 - global Probability of Boundary + Oriented Watershed Transform + Ultrametric Contour Map (gPb+owt+ucm)

Row 3 - Hierarchical segmentation results

Using Sparse Code Gradients (NIPS 2012)

- Sparse Code Gradients (SCG) - measure contrast using patch representations automatically learned through sparse coding
- Steps:
 - use K-SVD for dictionary learning and Orthogonal Matching Pursuit for computing sparse codes on oriented local neighborhoods
 - apply multi-scale pooling and power transforms
 - classify with linear SVMs




Steps:

Efficiency Over Previous Method

- preserve fine details
- higher precision on large-scale contours

Results (SCG) (BSDS Dataset)




Row 1 - Input Images from BSDS500 Dataset;

Row 2 - gPb+owt+ucm (from the previous method);

Row 3 - SCG output (this work)

Results (SCG) (NYUD Dataset with depth maps)



Column 1 - Input Images from NYUD Dataset

Column 2 - Input Depth

Column 3 - SCG contour output with image only


Column 4 - SCG contour output with depth only

Column 5 - SCG contour output with color + depth

Using Sketch Tokens (CVPR 2013)

- Sketch tokens - set of token classes that represent the wide variety of local edge structures that may exist in an image
- Discovered from human-generated image sketches
- Advantage - Captures even more fine details compared to SCG

Results (Sketch Token) (BSDS Dataset)




Col 1 - Input Images from BSDS500 Dataset; Col 2 - Ground Truth; Col 3 - SCG output ; Col4 - Sketch Token output (this work)

Structured Forest Approach (SF) (ICCV 2013)


- Structured Learning - Edge Masks for the patches in the image
- Random Forest - Capture the structured information
- Outputs of the forests are aggregated across the image to compute our final edge map
- Advantage - Orders of Magnitude Faster than state-of-the-art approaches

Results (SF) - (BSDS Dataset)



**Row 1 - Input Images from BSDS500 Dataset; Row 2 - Ground Truth
Row 3 - SCG output ; Row 4 - Structured Edges (SE) – (this work)**

Results (SF - NYUD Dataset with depth maps)



- Column 1 - Input Images from NYUD Dataset**
- Column 2 - Input Depth**
- Column 3 - Ground Truth**
- Column 4 - SCG contour output with image only**
- Column 5 - SCG contour output with depth only**
- Column 6 - SCG contour output with color + depth**

Improved SF (PAMI 2015)

- Edges are Sharpened


Results (BSDS Dataset)

**SCG - Sparse Code
Gradient**

**SF - Structured
Forest**

MS - Multi Scale

SH - Sharpening




Results : (NYUD Dataset)

**SF-D –
SF using Depth Only**

**SF-RGB –
SF using Color Only;**

**SF-RGBD –
SF using Depth
and Color**




References

- Martin, David R., Charless C. Fowlkes, and Jitendra Malik. "Learning to detect natural image boundaries using brightness and texture." *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 2002.
- Martin, David R., Charless C. Fowlkes, and Jitendra Malik. "Learning to detect natural image boundaries using local brightness, color, and texture cues." *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions* (2004): 530-549.
- Arbelaez, P., Maire, M., Fowlkes, C., & Malik, J. (2011). Contour detection and hierarchical image segmentation. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, 33(5), 898-916. ([gPb+owt+ucm](#))
- Xiaofeng, Ren, and Liefeng Bo. "Discriminatively trained sparse code gradients for contour detection." *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2012. ([SCG](#))
- Lim, Joseph, C. Zitnick, and Piotr Dollár. "Sketch tokens: A learned mid-level representation for contour and object detection." *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2013 ([Sketch Tokens](#))
- Dollár, Piotr, and C. Zitnick. "Structured forests for fast edge detection." *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*. 2013. ([SE](#))
- Dollár, Piotr, and C. Lawrence Zitnick. "Fast edge detection using structured forests." *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*; 37/8 (2015): 1558-1570.



(a) Original Image



(b) Human



(a) Original Image



(b) Human



(c) Sobel



(d) Canny



(c) Sobel




(d) Canny




(e) BEL



(f) gPb




(e) BEL



(f) gPb




(g) Sketch Token



(h) Structured Forest



(g) Sketch Token



(h) Structured Forest



(a) Original Image



(b) Human



(c) Sobel



(d) Canny




(e) BEL



(f) gPb



(g) Sketch Token



(h) Structured Forest



(a) Original Image



(b) Human



(c) Sobel



(d) Canny




(e) BEL



(f) gPb




(g) Sketch Token



(h) Structured Forest



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:PST_edge_detector_saint_Paul.tif



(a) Image



(c) SE



(c) EdgeNet

OK;

Let's get past the edge now.

